Вісник Київського національного університету імені Тараса Шевченка Серія: фізико-математичні науки **2014, 2** *Bulletin of Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv Series: Physics & Mathematics*

УДК 519.21

Іваненко Д.О.[1]

Друга похідна логарифмічної функції вірогідності для моделі заданої СДР керованим процесом Леві

[1]Київський національний університет імені Тараса Шевченка, факультет радіофізики, електроніки та комп'ютерних систем, 01601, Київ, вул. Володимирська 64, e-mail: ida@univ.net.ua

D.O. Ivanenko[1]

Second derivative of the log-likelihood in the model given by a Lévy driven SDE'S

[1]Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Department of Radio physics, electronics and computer systems, 01601, Kyiv, Volodymyrska str., 64, e-mail: ida@univ.net.ua

arXiv:1410.2880v1 [math.PR] 10 Oct 2014

Методами числення Малявена отримано представлення для другої похідної по параметру логарифмічної функції вірогідності побудованої на дискретних спостереженнях процесу заданого лінійним стохастичним диференціальним рівнянням, керованим процесом Леві.

Ключові слова: ММВ, функція вірогідності, СДР, регулярний статистичний експеримент, ЛАН.

By means of the Malliavin calculus, integral representation for the second derivative of the log-likelihood function are given for a model based on discrete time observations of the solution to equation $\mathrm{d}X_t = a_\theta(X_t)\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_t$ *with a Lévy process* Z*.*

If we have a logarithm of transition kernel for Markov chain and can calculate two its derivatives w.r.t. parameter, we can find the maximum likelihood estimate (MLE) and its asymptotic normal distribution. But in our case the support of transition probability density depend on parameter and we can't, in principle, to obtain a precise formula for the logarithm of joint density and its derivatives.

The likelihood function in our model is highly implicit. In this paper, we develop an approach which makes it possible to control the properties of the likelihood and log-likelihood functions only in the terms of the objects involved in the model: the function $a_\theta(x)$*, its derivatives, and the Lévy measure of the Lévy process* Z*.*

Key Words: MLE, Likelihood function, Lévy driven SDE, Regular statistical experiment, LAN.

Статтю представив д.ф.-м.н. Козаченко Ю.В.

Introduction

Let Z be a Lévy process without a diffusion component; that is,

$$Z_t = ct + \int_0^t \int_{|u|>1} u\nu(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}u) + \int_0^t \int_{|u|\leqslant 1} u\tilde{\nu}(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}u),$$

where ν is a Poisson point measure with the intensity measure $\mathrm{d}s\mu(\mathrm{d}u)$, and $\tilde{\nu}(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}u) = \nu(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}u) - \mathrm{d}s\mu(\mathrm{d}u)$ is respective compensated Poisson measure. In the sequel, we assume the Lévy measure μ to satisfy the following:

H. (i) for some $\kappa > 0$,

$$\int_{|u|\geqslant 1} u^{2+\kappa}\mu(du) < \infty;$$

(ii) for some $u_0 > 0$, the restriction of μ on $[-u_0, u_0]$ has a positive density

$$\sigma \in C^2\left([-u_0, 0) \cup (0, u_0]\right);$$

(iii) there exists C_0 such that

$$|\sigma'(u)| \leqslant C_0|u|^{-1}\sigma(u),$$
$$|\sigma''(u)| \leqslant C_0 u^{-2}\sigma(u), \ |u| \in (0, u_0];$$

(iv) $\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{-1} \mu\Big(\{u : |u| \geqslant \varepsilon\}\Big) \to \infty, \quad \varepsilon \to 0.$

Consider stochastic equation of the form

$$\mathrm{d}X_t^\theta = a_\theta(X_t^\theta)\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}Z_t, \qquad (1)$$

where $a : \Theta \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a measurable function, $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a parametric set.

In [1] it was proved that under conditions of smoothness and growth of a_θ the Markov process X given by (1) has a transition probability density p_t^θ w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure. Besides, according to [1] this density has a derivative $\partial_\theta p_t^\theta(x, y)$. The extension of the asymptotic

© Д.О. Іваненко, 2014

methods of mathematical statistics is used as a key tool the second derivative of the log-likelihood ratio w.r.t. parameter. The purpose of this paper is to give a Malliavin-type integral representation of this derivative.

1 Main results

We denote by P_x^θ the distribution of this process in $\mathbb{D}([0,\infty))$ with $X_0 = x$, and by E_x^θ the expectation w.r.t. this distribution. Respective finite-dimensional distribution for given time moments $t_1 < \cdots < t_n$ is denoted by $\mathsf{P}^\theta_{x,\{t_k\}_{k=1}^n}$. On the other hand, solution X to Eq. (1) is a random function defined on the same probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathsf{P})$ with the process Z, which depends additionally on the parameter θ and the initial value $x = X(0)$. We do not indicate this dependence in the notation, i.e. write X_t instead of e.g. $X^\theta_{x,t}$, but it will be important in the sequel that, under certain conditions, X_t is L_2-differentiable w.r.t. θ and is L_2-continuous w.r.t (t, x, θ).

In the sequel we will show that, under appropriate conditions, Markov process X admits a transition probability density $p_t^\theta(x,y)$ w.r.t. Lebesgue measure, which is continuous w.r.t. $(t,x,y) \in (0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}$. Then (see [2]), for every $t > 0, x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$p_t^\theta(x,y) > 0, \tag{2}$$

there exists a weak limit in $\mathbb{D}([0,t])$

$$\mathsf{P}^{t,\theta}_{x,y} = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \mathsf{P}^\theta_x\Big(\,\cdot\,\Big||X_t - y| \leqslant \varepsilon\Big),$$

which can be interpreted naturally as a *bridge* of the process X started at x and conditioned to arrive to y at time t. We denote by $\mathsf{E}^{t,\theta}_{x,y}$ the expectation w.r.t. $\mathsf{P}^{t,\theta}_{x,y}$.

In what follows, C denotes a constant which is not specified explicitly and may vary from place to place. By $C^{k,m}(\mathbb{R}\times\Theta), k, m \geqslant 0$ we denote the class of functions $f : \mathbb{R}\times\Theta \to \mathbb{R}$ which has continuous derivatives

$$\frac{\partial^i}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial^j}{\partial\,\theta^j} f, \quad i \leqslant k, \quad j \leqslant m.$$

In [1] it was proved that under the conditions of following Theorem $\partial_\theta p_t^\theta(x,y)$ has a Malliavin-type integral representation

$$\partial_\theta p_t^\theta(x,y) = g_t^\theta(x,y) p_t^\theta(x,y) \tag{3}$$

with

$$g_t^\theta(x,y) = \begin{cases} \partial_\theta \log p_t^\theta(x,y) = \mathsf{E}^{t,\theta}_{x,y}\Xi_t^1, & p_t^\theta(x,y) > 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

The goal of this section is to obtain the same representation for second derivative, i.e.

$$\partial^2_{\theta\theta} p_t^\theta(x,y) = G_t^\theta(x,y) p_t^\theta(x,y) \tag{5}$$

with

$$G_t^\theta(x,y) = \begin{cases} \partial^2_{\theta\theta}\log p_t^\theta(x,y) + g_t^\theta(x,y)^2 = \\ = \mathsf{E}^{t,\theta}_{x,y}\Xi_t^2, & p_t^\theta(x,y) > 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

The functionals Ξ_t^1 and Ξ_t^2, involved in expressions for g and G, will be introduced explicitly in the proof below; see formulas (19) and (21).

Theorem 1. *Let $a \in C^{3,2}(\mathbb{R}\times\Theta)$ have bounded derivatives $\partial_x a$, $\partial^2_{xx}a$, $\partial^2_{x\theta}a$, $\partial^3_{xxx}a$, $\partial^3_{x\theta\theta}a$, $\partial^3_{xx\theta}a$, $\partial^4_{xxx\theta}a$ and for all $\theta\in\Theta$, $x\in\mathbb{R}$*

$$|a_\theta(x)| + |\partial_\theta a_\theta(x)| + |\partial^2_{\theta\theta}a_\theta(x)| \leqslant C(1+|x|). \tag{7}$$

Then the transition probability density has a second derivative $\partial^2_{\theta\theta}p_t^\theta(x,y)$, which is continuous w.r.t. $(t,x,y,\theta) \in (0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\Theta$, and (5) holds true.

Remark 1. *By statement of Theorem, the logarithm of the transition probability density has a second continuous derivative w.r.t. θ on the open subset of $(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\Theta$ defined by inequality $p_t^\theta(x,y) > 0$ and, on this subset, admits the integral representation*

$$\partial^2_{\theta\theta}\log p_t^\theta(x,y) = \mathsf{E}^{t,\theta}_{x,y}\Xi_t^2 - \Big(E^{t,\theta}_{x,y}\Xi_t^1\Big)^2. \tag{8}$$

Remark 2. *For every $\gamma < 1 + \kappa/2$ there exists constant C which depends on t and γ only, such that*

$$\mathsf{E}^\theta_x\Big|\partial_\theta g_t^\theta(x, X_t^\theta)\Big|^\gamma \leqslant C(1+|x|)^\gamma. \tag{9}$$

2 Proof of Theorem 1

We need to repeat some notations and statements defined in Section 3 [1]. Fix $u_1 \in (0, u_0)$, where u_0 comes from **H** (ii), and introduce a C^2-function $\varrho : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ with bounded derivative, such that

$$\varrho(u) = \begin{cases} u^2, & |u| \leqslant u_1; \\ 0, & |u| \geqslant u_0 \end{cases}.$$

Denote by $Q_c(x)$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$ the value at the time moment $s = c$ of the solution to Cauchy problem

$$q'(s) = \varrho(q(s)), \quad q(0) = x.$$

Then $\{Q_c, c \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a group of transformations of $\mathbb{R}$, and $\partial_c Q_c(x)|_{c=0} = \varrho(x)$.

Definition 1. *A functional $F \in L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathsf{P})$ is called* stochastically differentiable*, if there exists an $L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathsf{P})$-limit*

$$\hat{\mathrm{D}}F = \lim_{c \to 0} \frac{1}{c}\Big(\mathcal{Q}_c F - F\Big). \tag{10}$$

The closure D *of the operator* $\hat{\mathrm{D}}$ *defined by (10) is called the* stochastic derivative*. The adjoint operator $\delta = \mathrm{D}^*$ is called the* divergence operator *or the* extended stochastic integral*.*

Remark 3. $\mathrm{dom}(\mathrm{D})$ *is dense in $L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathsf{P})$, hence δ is well defined. In addition,* $\mathrm{dom}(\delta)$ *is dense in $L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathsf{P})$, hence* $\hat{\mathrm{D}}$ *is closable. The operator δ itself is closed as an adjoint one; e.g. Theorem VIII.1 in [3].*

Denote $\chi(u) = -\frac{(\sigma(u)\varrho(u))'}{\sigma(u)}$, $u \neq 0$.

Proposition 1. *1. Let $\varphi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ have bounded derivatives and $F_k \in \mathrm{dom}(\mathrm{D})$, $k = \overline{1,d}$. Then $\varphi(F_1, \dots, F_d) \in \mathrm{dom}(\mathrm{D})$ and*

$$\mathrm{D}\left[\varphi(F_1, \dots, F_d)\right] = \sum_{k=1}^{d} [\partial_{x_k}\varphi](F_1, \dots, F_d)\mathrm{D}F_k. \tag{11}$$

2. The constant function 1 *belongs to* $\mathrm{dom}(\delta)$ *and*

$$\delta(1) = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi(u)\tilde{\nu}(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}u). \tag{12}$$

3. Let $G \in \mathrm{dom}(\mathrm{D})$ and

$$\mathsf{E}\left(\delta(1)G\right)^2 < \infty. \tag{13}$$

Then $G \in \mathrm{dom}(\delta)$ and $\delta(G) = \delta(1)G - \mathrm{D}G$.

The proofs of this Proposition and Remark 3 can be found in [1].

Lemma 1. *Under the conditions of Theorem* 1 X_t^θ *is thrice stochastically differentiable and*

$$\mathrm{D}^j X_t^\theta = \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \frac{(i+1)^{j-i+1}}{i!} \int_0^t \mathrm{D}^{j-i-1}\left(\mathcal{E}_t \mathcal{E}_s^{-1}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varrho(u)\left(\varrho(u)^i\right)^{(i)} \nu(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}u), \ j = \overline{1,3}; \tag{14}$$

where $\mathcal{E}_t := \exp\left\{\int_0^t \partial_x a_\theta(X_\tau^\theta)\mathrm{d}\tau\right\}$,

$$\mathrm{D}^n \mathcal{E}_t = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-k-1} C_{n-1}^k C_{n-k-1}^j \mathrm{D}^k \mathcal{E}_t \times \int_0^t \mathrm{D}^j\left(\partial_{xx}^2 a_\theta(X_\tau^\theta)\right)\mathrm{D}^{n-k-j} X_\tau^\theta \mathrm{d}\tau, \ n = 1, 2. \tag{15}$$

Remark 4. *The expressions for* $\mathrm{D}^n\left(\partial_{xx}^2 a_\theta(X_t^\theta)\right)$ *and* $\mathrm{D}^n\left(\mathcal{E}_t\mathcal{E}_s^{-1}\right)$ *can be found by the first statement of Proposition* 1 *(and formula* (15) *respectively).*

Remark 5. *Under additional conditions about smoothness and growth of a_θ the formulas* (14) *and* (15) *are equitable if j is more than 3 and n is more than 2.*

The case $j = 1, 2$ and $n = 1$ was considered in [1]. The proof of (14) as $j \geqslant 3$ provides by induction on using the argument of proof of relation (27) [1], and based on Theorem II.2.8.5 [4]. The same arguments that in Section 3.2 [1] give (see details in proof of relations (27), (31) and (32) [1]):

$$\mathrm{D}^2\partial_{\theta\theta} X_t^\theta = \int_0^t \mathrm{D}^2\left(\mathcal{E}_t\mathcal{E}_s^{-1}\right)\partial_\theta a_\theta(X_s^\theta)\mathrm{d}s + 2\int_0^t \mathrm{D}\left(\mathcal{E}_t\mathcal{E}_s^{-1}\right)\partial_{x\theta}^2 a_\theta(X_s^\theta)\mathrm{D}X_s^\theta \mathrm{d}s + \mathcal{E}_t \int_0^t \mathcal{E}_s^{-1}\Big(\partial_{xx\theta}^3 a_\theta(X_s^\theta)(\mathrm{D}X_s^\theta)^2 + \partial_{x\theta}^2 a_\theta(X_s^\theta)\mathrm{D}^2 X_s^\theta\Big)\mathrm{d}s, \tag{16}$$

$$\partial_{\theta\theta}^2 X_t^\theta = \mathcal{E}_t \int_0^t \mathcal{E}_s^{-1}\Big([\partial_{\theta\theta}^2 a_\theta](X_s^\theta) + 2[\partial_{x\theta}^2 a_\theta](X_s^\theta)\partial_\theta X_s^\theta + [\partial_{xx}^2 a_\theta](X_s^\theta)(\partial_\theta X_s^\theta)^2\Big)\mathrm{d}s, \tag{17}$$

$$\mathrm{D}\partial^2_{\theta\theta}X^\theta_t = 2\mathcal{E}_t\int_0^t \mathcal{E}_s^{-1}\Big(\partial^2_{xx}a_\theta(X^\theta_s)\partial_\theta X^\theta_s + [\partial^2_{x\theta}a_\theta](X^\theta_s)\Big)\mathrm{D}\partial_\theta X^\theta_s \mathrm{d}s + \mathcal{E}_t\int_0^t \mathcal{E}_s^{-1}\Big(2\partial^3_{xx\theta}[a_\theta](X^\theta_s)\partial_\theta X^\theta_s + \partial^3_{xxx}a_\theta(X^\theta_s)(\partial_\theta X^\theta_s)^2 + [\partial^3_{x\theta\theta}a_\theta](X^\theta_s)\Big)\mathrm{D}X^\theta_s \mathrm{d}s. \tag{18}$$

Similarly to proof the moment bounds for $\partial_\theta X^\theta_t$, $\mathrm{D}(\partial_\theta X_t)$, $\mathrm{D}X^\theta_t$, $\mathrm{D}^2X^\theta_t$, proved in Section 3.3 [1], we get the same one for $\partial^2_{\theta\theta}X^\theta_t$, $\mathrm{D}(\partial^2_{\theta\theta}X^\theta_t)$, $\mathrm{D}^2(\partial_\theta X^\theta_t)$ and $\mathrm{D}^3X^\theta_t$. Note that the assumption on the derivatives $\partial_x a, \partial^2_{xx}a, \partial^3_{xxx}a$ is used in Section 3.2 [1] to get the existence of the derivatives $\mathrm{D}X_t, \mathrm{D}^2X_t, \mathrm{D}^3X_t$. The addition assumption on $\partial^2_{x\theta}a_\theta$ similarly gives the existence of derivative $\mathrm{D}\left(\partial_\theta X^\theta_t\right)$.

Proof of Theorem 1. In the theorem 1 [1] it was proved that the transition probability density has a derivative $\partial_\theta p^\theta_t(x,y)$, which is continuous w.r.t. $(t,x,y,\theta)\in(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\Theta$, and functional Ξ^1_t, from its representation given by the formula

$$\Xi^1_t = \frac{(\partial_\theta X^\theta_t)\delta(1)}{\mathrm{D}X^\theta_t} + \frac{(\partial_\theta X^\theta_t)\mathrm{D}^2X^\theta_t}{(\mathrm{D}X^\theta_t)^2} - \frac{\mathrm{D}(\partial_\theta X^\theta_t)}{\mathrm{D}X^\theta_t}. \tag{19}$$

Note that X_t is twice L_2-differentiable w.r.t. parameter θ, see (17) for its derivative. In addition, $\mathrm{D}X^\theta_t$, $\mathrm{D}^2X^\theta_t$, and $\mathrm{D}\partial_\theta X^\theta_t$, are L_2-differentiable w.r.t. θ, and all these derivatives satisfy moment bounds similar to (35) [1] (moment bounds for $\mathrm{D}X^\theta_t$). Now it is easy to prove that Ξ^1_t is L_2-differentiable w.r.t. θ (the explicit formula of the derivative is omitted). One can just replace $\mathrm{D}X_t$ in the denominator in the formula (19) by $\mathrm{D}X_t+\varepsilon$, prove that this new functional is L_2-differentiable w.r.t. θ using the chain rule, and then show using (36) [1] (negative order moment bounds for $\mathrm{D}X^\theta_t$) that both this functional and its derivative w.r.t. θ converge (locally uniformly) in L_2 as $\varepsilon\to 0$, respectively, to Ξ^1_t and to the functional $\partial_\theta\Xi^1_t$ which comes from the formal differentiation of (19). This argument also shows that Ξ^1_t and $\partial_\theta\Xi^1_t$ depend continuously (in L_2) on x,t,θ. Therefore, we can take a derivative at the right hand side in (3), which gives

$$\partial^2_{\theta\theta}p^\theta_t(x,y) = p^\theta_t(x,y)\mathsf{E}^{t,\theta}_{x,y}\partial_\theta\Xi^1_t + p^\theta_t(x,y)g^\theta_t(x,y)^2.$$

This function is continuous w.r.t. (t,x,y,θ) because p^θ_t, g^θ_t, and $\partial_\theta\Xi^1_t$ depend continuously (in L_2) on x,t,θ, and relation

$$\mathsf{P}^\theta_x(X_t=y)=0,\quad x,y\in\mathbb{R},\quad t>0,\quad \theta\in\Theta \tag{20}$$

holds true (by representation (3)).

To prove (5), we use moment bounds for $\partial_\theta X^\theta_t$, $\partial^2_{\theta\theta}X^\theta_t$, $\mathrm{D}(\partial_\theta X_t)$, $\mathrm{D}(\partial^2_{\theta\theta}X^\theta_t)$, $\mathrm{D}^2(\partial_\theta X^\theta_t)$, $\mathrm{D}X^\theta_t$, $\mathrm{D}^2X^\theta_t$ and $\mathrm{D}^3X^\theta_t$ to get, similarly to the proof of (37) [1] (integral representation for p^θ_t), that

$$\frac{(\partial_\theta X^\theta_t)^2}{\mathrm{D}X^\theta_t},\quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{D}X^\theta_t}\left(\delta\left(\frac{(\partial_\theta X^\theta_t)^2}{\mathrm{D}X^\theta_t}\right)+\partial^2_{\theta\theta}X^\theta_t\right)$$

belong to $\mathrm{dom}(\delta)$ and

$$\Xi^2_t := \delta\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{D}X^\theta_t}\left(\delta\left(\frac{(\partial_\theta X^\theta_t)^2}{\mathrm{D}X^\theta_t}\right)+\partial^2_{\theta\theta}X^\theta_t\right)\right) = -\frac{1}{\mathrm{D}X^\theta_t}\mathrm{D}\delta\left(\frac{(\partial_\theta X^\theta_t)^2}{\mathrm{D}X^\theta_t}\right)+\frac{\mathrm{D}\partial^2_{\theta\theta}X^\theta_t}{\mathrm{D}X^\theta_t}+\left(\frac{\delta(1)}{\mathrm{D}X^\theta_t}+\frac{\mathrm{D}^2X^\theta_t}{(\mathrm{D}X^\theta_t)^2}\right)\left(\delta\left(\frac{(\partial_\theta X^\theta_t)^2}{\mathrm{D}X^\theta_t}\right)+\partial^2_{\theta\theta}X^\theta_t\right), \tag{21}$$

with

$$\delta\left(\frac{(\partial_\theta X^\theta_t)^2}{\mathrm{D}X^\theta_t}\right) = \frac{(\partial_\theta X^\theta_t)^2\delta(1)}{\mathrm{D}X^\theta_t}+\frac{(\partial_\theta X^\theta_t)^2\mathrm{D}^2X^\theta_t}{(\mathrm{D}X^\theta_t)^2}-\frac{2(\partial_\theta X^\theta_t)\mathrm{D}(\partial_\theta X^\theta_t)}{\mathrm{D}X^\theta_t},$$

$$\mathrm{D}\delta\left(\frac{(\partial_\theta X^\theta_t)^2}{\mathrm{D}X^\theta_t}\right) = \frac{2\partial_\theta X^\theta_t}{\mathrm{D}X^\theta_t}\Big(\delta(1)\mathrm{D}(\partial_\theta X^\theta_t)-\mathrm{D}^2(\partial_\theta X^\theta_t)\Big)+\frac{(\partial_\theta X^\theta_t)^2\mathrm{D}\delta(1)}{\mathrm{D}X^\theta_t} - \frac{2(\mathrm{D}(\partial_\theta X^\theta_t))^2}{\mathrm{D}X^\theta_t}+\left(\frac{\partial_\theta X^\theta_t}{\mathrm{D}X^\theta_t}\right)^2\Big(\mathrm{D}^3X^\theta_t-\delta(1)\mathrm{D}^2X^\theta_t\Big) + \frac{4\partial_\theta X^\theta_t\mathrm{D}(\partial_\theta X^\theta_t)\mathrm{D}^2X^\theta_t}{(\mathrm{D}X^\theta_t)^2}-\frac{2(\partial_\theta X^\theta_t\mathrm{D}^2X^\theta_t)^2}{(\mathrm{D}X^\theta_t)^3}.$$

The expressions for $\partial_\theta X^\theta_t$, $\mathrm{D}\partial_\theta X^\theta_t$ and $\mathrm{D}\delta(1)$ can be found in [1], the other one given by the formulas (14) – (18). Therefore, for any test function $f\in$

$C^2(\mathbb{R})$ with bounded derivatives we have

$$\partial^2_{\theta\theta}\mathsf{E}^\theta_x f(X^\theta_t) = \mathsf{E}^\theta_x\left(f''(X^\theta_t)(\partial_\theta X^\theta_t)^2 + f'(X^\theta_t)\partial^2_{\theta\theta}X^\theta_t\right) = \mathsf{E}^\theta_x\left(\mathrm{D}f'(X^\theta_t)\frac{(\partial_\theta X^\theta_t)^2}{\mathrm{D}X^\theta_t} + f'(X^\theta_t)\partial^2_{\theta\theta}X^\theta_t\right) = \mathsf{E}^\theta_x\left(f'(X^\theta_t)\left(\delta\left(\frac{(\partial_\theta X^\theta_t)^2}{\mathrm{D}X^\theta_t}\right) + \partial^2_{\theta\theta}X^\theta_t\right)\right) = \mathsf{E}^\theta_x\left(\frac{\mathrm{D}f(X^\theta_t)}{\mathrm{D}X^\theta_t}\left(\delta\left(\frac{(\partial_\theta X^\theta_t)^2}{\mathrm{D}X^\theta_t}\right) + \partial^2_{\theta\theta}X^\theta_t\right)\right) = \mathsf{E}^\theta_x f(X^\theta_t)\Xi^2_t = \mathsf{E}^\theta_x f(X^\theta_t)G^\theta_t(x, X^\theta_t); \quad (22)$$

see (6) for the definition of $G^\theta_t(x,y)$. Because the test function f is arbitrary, the integral identity (22) proves (5). □

Remark 6. *From* (22) *with* $f \equiv 1$ *it follows that for every* $x \in \mathbb{R}, \theta \in \Theta, t > 0$

$$\mathsf{E}^\theta_x G^\theta_t(x, X^\theta_t) = 0.$$

Proof of Remark 2. By the moment bounds and formula (21), we have

$$\mathsf{E}^\theta_x|\Xi^2_t|^p \leqslant C(1 + |x|^p) \quad (23)$$

for every $p \in [1, 2+\kappa)$, with the constants C depending on t, p only.

Combining relations (3) – (6) we get

$$\partial_\theta g^\theta_t(x, X_t) = \mathsf{E}^\theta_x\left[\Xi^2_t\middle| X_t\right] - g^\theta_t(x, X^\theta_t)^2,$$

Moreover, inequality (9) follows directly from (23), (45) [1] (moment bounds for g^θ_t) and Jensen's inequality. □

Список використаних джерел

1. *Іваненко Д.О.* Застосування числення Малявена до статистистичного аналізу СДР керованих процесом Леві [Електронний ресурс] / Д.О. Іваненко, О.М. Кулік // *arXiv:1301.5141.*

2. *Шамон Л.* Марківські мости: слабка неперервність і потраєкторна конструкція / Л. Шамон, Дж. Уріб Браво // *Ann. Probab.* – 2011 – №39(2). – С. 609-647.

3. *Саймон Б.* Методи сучасної математичної фізики / Б. Саймон, М. Рід // Functional Analysis, Academic Press. – San Diego. – 1972.

4. *Гіхман І.І.* Стохастичні диференціальні рівняння і їх додатки / І.І. Гіхман, А.В. Скороход. – New York. Springer-Verlag. – 1972.

References

1. IVANENKO, D. and KULIK, A. (2014) Malliavin calculus approach to statistical inference for Levy driven SDE's. [Online] – Available from *arXiv:1301.5141.*

2. CHAUMONT, L., URIBE BRAVO, G. (2011) Markovian bridges: Weak continuity and pathwise constructions, *Ann. Probab.*, 39(2). p. 609-647.

3. SIMON, B. READ, M. (1972) Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics. San Diego. Functional Analysis, Academic Press.

4. GIHMAN, I. and SKOROHOD, A. (1972) Stochastic differential equations and their applications.. New York, Springer-Verlag.

Received: 23.12.2013